THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

DE 09-067

CLEAN POWER DEVELOPMENT, LLC

Complaint Against Public Service Company of New Hampshire

Order Commencing Adjudicative Proceeding

O R D E R N O. 25,075

February 24, 2010

On October 8, 2009, an Order of Notice was issued in the instant docket for purposes of conducting an investigation to determine whether an adjudicative proceeding should be commenced to resolve a complaint filed against Public Service Company of New Hampshire (PSNH) by Clean Power Development, LLC (CPD). CPD is a small power producer that proposes to develop a 29 MW biomass fueled facility in Berlin. In its complaint, CPD alleges that PSNH refused to enter into negotiations with CPD to purchase the energy, capacity and renewable energy certificates (RECs) to be generated from the Berlin facility and that such refusal to negotiate is unlawful.

A prehearing conference took place as scheduled on November 3, 2009. Petitions to intervene were filed by Concord Steam Corporation (Concord Steam), ECM-Eastern Construction Management, LLC, Jonathan Edwards, Town of Winchester, City of Berlin, Carbon Action Alliance, New Hampshire Sierra Club and, individually, New Hampshire State Representatives Robert J. Perry, Robin Read, Judith T. Spang, and James U. McClammer. On October 26, 2009, Mr. Perry withdrew his petition to intervene as a state representative and filed a revised petition to intervene as a citizen of Strafford.

Puc 204.05 sets forth a multi-step approach to complaints against utilities. When a complainant's issues are not resolved through the Commission's informal complaint process, it may notify the Commission of its dissatisfaction. If the Commission finds there is a basis for the dispute, it conducts an independent investigation of the matter pursuant to RSA 365:4. If after investigation the Commission finds the complaint warrants further action against a utility, the Commission shall address the matter in an adjudicative proceeding.

We have determined that further inquiry into the legal obligations of PSNH as they relate to CPD is warranted and therefore we are conducting an adjudicative proceeding. We now seek memoranda on the legal issues and provide an additional opportunity for intervention, inasmuch as the legal question in dispute has now become clearer as described below. Depending on the outcome of the legal determination, other steps may be warranted.

Issues in Dispute. The complaint involves, as a threshold matter, whether PSNH is obligated to negotiate and contract with CPD for some or all of the output of CPD's biomass facility, which is proposed to be constructed within PSNH's service territory. We are particularly interested in the parties' interpretation of Section 210 of the Public Utilities Regulatory Policies Act of 1978, 16 U.S.C.A. Section 824a-3, RSA Chapter 362-A, the Limited Electrical Energy Producers Act, and any other legal standard that might impose an obligation on PSNH under these circumstances. If we were to conclude that PSNH is obligated to negotiate and contract for some or all of the output of the CPD facility, the next inquiry would be a factual one examining the nature of negotiations that have taken place between CPD and PSNH.

Petitions to Intervene. We will address the requests for intervention that are now pending. Any additional petitions to intervene will be addressed in a subsequent order prior to the due date for filing legal memoranda.

DE 09-067 - 3 -

Concord Steam is a regulated utility that alleges too that PSNH has failed to engage in negotiations for purchase of the power, capacity and RECs to be created from a biomass facility proposed for Concord. Concord Steam is located in the service territory of Unitil Energy Systems, Inc. Whether PSNH is obligated to negotiate with a power producer located in another utility's service territory is not an issue that needs to be resolved in this docket. We do not find, therefore, that Concord Steam has demonstrated rights, duties, privileges, immunities or other substantial interests that are affected by this proceeding, as required by RSA 541-A: 32 and will deny the petition to intervene. We welcome its input, however, through written statements submitted to the file. Further, if Concord Steam wishes to involve the Commission in its dispute with PSNH, it should file such a complaint; we will not expand this docket to include Concord Steam's issues.

The City of Berlin is the municipality in which CPD's biomass facility would be located and, as such, the financial viability of the plant, among other issues, is of high importance to the City and its residents. The City has demonstrated a cognizable interest affected by this complaint and its petition to intervene will be granted.

The Town of Winchester stated it has been approached by CPD concerning a possible biomass facility within Winchester and finds the "bad behavior on the part of PSNH" to be indicative of the need to move to the final stages of deregulation in the State. While the outcome of this docket will be of interest to the Town of Winchester, we find that it has not met the standards of RSA 541-A:32 and, therefore, we will deny its petition to intervene. We welcome the Town's input regarding the CPD plant, energy policy and deregulation, through written statements submitted to the file.

State Representatives David Borden, Robin Read, Judith Spang and James McClammer sought intervention as PSNH ratepayers and legislators interested in advancement of renewable power, while protecting environmental and rate impacts. We find their policy perspectives to be valuable but not sufficient to constitute the interest required by RSA 541-A:32 to intervene as parties with full rights of participation. We will, therefore, deny their requests to intervene. We welcome their input, however, through written submissions to the file.

Jonathan Edwards, a resident of Berlin, seeks intervention as one directly affected by the complaint. As a resident who may see significant impact to the community's economic and environmental circumstances as result of the proposed plant, we find he has demonstrated a cognizable interest affected by the complaint and will grant his request to intervene. So too has ECM-Eastern Construction Management, LLC, a construction management company that states it has business clients located in the City of Berlin who will benefit from the synergies offered by the CPD facility.

Robert Perry, a resident of Strafford, also states an interest in environmentally sound biomass facilities and his view that the CPD project would be of benefit to the City of Berlin and the state. He further argued that PSNH has not been acting in the public good in its dealings with CPD. We do not find a direct interest affected by the complaint and will deny the request to intervene, though we welcome his views through written statements submitted to the file.

Carbon Action Alliance and the Sierra Club are advocacy organizations that promote the sound development of renewable power, environmental protection and reduction of carbon dioxide emissions but are otherwise not directly affected by the complaint. We find they have not met the standards of RSA 541-A:32 and will deny their requests to intervene. We of course welcome their input on policy issues through written statements submitted to the file.

Each party has the right to have an attorney represent them at their own expense.

Based upon the foregoing, it is hereby

ORDERED, that, pursuant to N. H. Admin. Rules Puc 203.12, the Commission shall post a copy of this order on its website no later than February 25, 2010; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED, that the petitions to intervene filed by the City of Berlin,

Jonathan Edwards, and ECM-Eastern Construction Management, LLC are GRANTED and the
petitions to intervene by Concord Steam, Town of Winchester, State Representatives Borden,
Read, Spang and McClammer, Robert Perry, Carbon Action Alliance and Sierra Club are

DENIED; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED, that, pursuant to N.H. Admin. Rules Puc 203.17, any party seeking to intervene in the proceeding shall submit to the Commission seven copies of a Petition to Intervene with copies sent to CPD and the Office of the Consumer Advocate on or before March 5, 2010, such Petition stating the facts demonstrating how its rights, duties, privileges, immunities or other substantial interest may be affected by the proceeding, as required by N.H. Admin. Rule Puc 203.17 and RSA 541-A:32,I(b); and it is

FURTHER ORDERED, that any party objecting to a Petition to Intervene make said Objection on or before March 10, 2010; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED, that legal memoranda regarding the nature and extent of PSNH's duty to negotiate with and contract for power from CPD shall be due on or before March 26, 2010.

By order of the Public Utilities Commission of New Hampshire this twenty-fourth day of February, 2010.

Thomas B. Getz Chairman

Clifton C. Below Commissioner Amy L. Ignatius Commissioner

Attested by:

Kimberly Nolin Smith Assistant Secretary ROBERT BERSAK
PUBLIC SVC OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
780 N COMMERCIAL ST
PO BOX 330
MANCHESTER NH 03105-0330

BILL GABLER CLEAN POWER DEVELOPMENT LLC 130 PEMBROKE RD STE 100 CONCORD NH 03301 JAMES MONAHAN THE DUPONT GROUP 114 NORTH MAIN ST STE 401 CONCORD NH 03301

DAVID BERTRAND CITY OF BERLIN CITY HALL MAIN ST BERLIN NH 03570 JOHN GOMARLO TOWN OF WINCHESTER 1 RICHMOND RD WINCHESTER NH 03470 OCA LITIGATION
OCA LITIGATION
21 SOUTH FRUIT ST STE 18
CONCORD NH 03301

PETER BLOOMFIELD CONCORD STEAM CORPORATION PO BOX 2520 CONCORD NH 03302 ROBERT GRAY TOWN OF WINCHESTER 1 RICHMOND RD WINCHESTER NH 03470 DOUGLAS L PATCH ORR & RENO PA 1 EAGLE SQ PO BOX 3550 CONCORD NH 03302-3550

DAVID A BORDEN NEW HAMPSHIRE STATE REPRESENT PO BOX 167 NEW CASTLE NH 03854 STEPHEN R HALL
PSNH
780 N COMMERCIAL ST
PO BOX 330
MANCHESTER NH 03105-0330

ROBERT J PERRY 88 EVANS MTN RD STRAFFORD NH 03884

CATHERINE CORKERY NH SIERRA CLUB 40 N MAIN ST 2ND FLR CONCORD NH 03301 MEREDITH A HATFIELD OFFICE OF CONSUMER ADVOCATE 21 SOUTH FRUIT ST STE 18 CONCORD NH 03301 ROBIN READ NEW HAMPSHIRE STATE REPRESENT 132 ELWYN AVE PORTSMOUTH NH 03801

ARTHUR B CUNNINGHAM LAW OFFICES OF ARTHUR B CUNNING PO BOX 511 HOPKINTON NH 03229 MELVIN E LISTON CLEAN POWER DEVELOPMENT LLC 130 PEMBROKE ROAD SUITE 100 CONCORD NH 03301 JAMES T RODIER ATTORNEY-AT-LAW 1500 A LAFAYETTE RD NO 112 PORTSMOUTH NH 03801-5918

GERALD M EATON
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW H
780 N COMMERCIAL ST
PO BOX 330
MANCHESTER NH 03105-0330

PATRICK MACQUEEN CITY OF BERLIN 168 MAIN ST BERLIN NH 03570

GUSTAVE RUTH TOWN OF WINCHESTER 1 RICHMOND RD WINCHESTER NH 03470

STEPHEN R ECKBERG OFFICE OF CONSUMER ADVOCATE 21 SOUTH FRUIT ST STE 18 CONCORD NH 03301 JAMES U MCCLAMMER JR NEW HAMPSHIRE STATE REPRESENT 391 RIVER RD CHARLESTOWN NH 03603 FARRELL S SEILER CARBON ACTION ALLIANCE PO BOX 693 LITTLETON NH 03561

02/24/10 Order No. 25,075 issued and forwarded to all parties. Copies given to PUC Staff.

Docket #: 09-067 Printed: February 24, 2010

FILING INSTRUCTIONS: PURSUANT TO N.H. ADMIN RULE PUC 203.02(a),

WITH THE EXCEPTION OF DISCOVERY, FILE 7 COPIES (INCLUDING COVER LETTER) TO:

DEBRA A HOWLAND EXEC DIRECTOR & SECRETARY NHPUC 21 SOUTH FRUIT STREET, SUITE 10 CONCORD NH 03301-2429 JUDITH T SPANG NEW HAMPSHIRE STATE REPRESENT 55 WISWALL RD DURHAM NH 03824

KEN E TRAUM OFFICE OF CONSUMER ADVOCATE 21 SOUTH FRUIT ST STE 18 CONCORD NH 03301-2429

Docket #: 09-067 Printed: February 24, 2010

RAYMOND S BURTON 338 RIVER RD BATH NH 03740

CHRISTOPHER HODGE 400 SOUTH ST LITTLETON NH 03561

JIM RUBENS 11 LARAMIE RD ETNA NH 03750

JASEN STOCK 54 PORTSMOUTH ST CONCORD NH 03301

RACHEAL STUART 37 PLEASANT ST CONCORD NH 03301

BARBARA TETREAULT 164 MAIN ST BERLIN NH 03570

Docket #: 09-067

Printed: February 24, 2010

INTERESTED PARTIES

RECEIVE ORDERS, NOTICES OF HEARINGS ONLY